## REMARKS

Claims 1 - 6 remain in this application. Claims 7 and 8 have been cancelled. Claims 1 and 5 have been amended. Reconsideration of this application in view of the amendments noted is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claim 1 was objected to because of certain informalities. Applicant has amended claim 1 in accordance with the examiner's suggestions. Specifically, "spacedly" now reads --spaced apart--, "a stationary member and moving member" now reads --a stationary member and a moving member--, "pivots of the stationary member" now reads --pivots on the stationary member--, and "pivots of the moving member" now reads --pivots on the moving member--.

Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to specifically point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More particularly, the term "loosely" was found to be a relative term. The term "loosely" has been deleted from claim 5. Applicant submits that claim 5 is definite and respectfully requests that the Section 112, second paragraph rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 1 – 4 and 6 are allowed. Claim 5 was found allowable if rewritten to overcome the Section 112, second paragraph rejection discussed above. Applicant submits that claim 5 is in allowable form.

This amendment and request for reconsideration is felt to be fully responsive to the comments and suggestions of the examiner and to place this application in condition for allowance. Favorable action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald F. Erker

Fildes & Outland, P.C.

Christopher J. Fildes, Attorney

Registration No. 32,132

20916 Mack Avenue, Suite 2

Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236

(313) 885-1500